## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GRANITE STATE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant,

and

Defendant and Third-party plaintiff,

vs.

UNDERHILL CONSULTING ENGINEER, P.C.

Third-party defendant.

#### ANSWER TO THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT

For answer to the third-party complaint of defendant and third-party plaintiff Fred A. Nudd Corporation (Nudd) filed herein, third-party defendant Underhill Consulting Engineer, P.C. (Underhill), pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12 and 14, admits, denies and alleges as follows:

#### FIRST DEFENSE

General Allegations.

- 1. Admitted on information and belief.
- 2. Admitted.

#### COUNT I

#### (Indemnification)

- Third-party defendant repeats and incorporates by reference herein its 1. admissions, denials and allegations set forth in ¶¶ 1-2 above as if each were separately set forth herein.
- 2. Third-party defendant admits that Nudd is in the business of manufacturing monopole-type towers used in the telecommunications industry; and that the plaintiff American Tower Corporation and/or its predecessor (ATC) alleges that it was a purchaser of said towers and sold space to its customers for installation of their antennae on the towers. Third-party defendant further admits that certain aspects of the design of Nudd's towers were submitted to Underhill for review and that following Underhill's review, Nudd manufactured and furnished certain; the remaining allegations are denied.
- 3. Defendant admits that following the construction of the towers, a dispute arose concerning whether or not the towers, as designed, conformed with the buyer's specifications and industry standards; and that a dispute also arose as to whether or not the towers could safely accommodate a certain number of antennae; the remaining allegations are denied.
  - 4. Admitted.
  - 5. Admitted.
  - Denied. 6.

### COUNT II

(Contribution)

- 1. Third-party defendant repeats and incorporates by reference herein its admissions, denials and allegations set forth in ¶¶ 1-2 and ¶¶1-6 of Count I above as if each were separately set forth herein.
  - 2. Denied.

#### SECOND DEFENSE

The injuries and damages alleged in the third-party complaint were caused by a person or persons for whose conduct this third-party defendant was not legally responsible and over whose conduct it had no control.

#### THIRD DEFENSE

Third-party defendant adopts and incorporates by reference herein all defenses asserted by the defendant and third-party plaintiff in its answer to the plaintiff's complaint.

#### FOURTH DEFENSE

Third-party plaintiff failed to give this third-party defendant timely notice of any breach of contract or any covenant and third-party defendant has been prejudiced thereby.

#### FIFTH DEFENSE

The third-party plaintiff, by its conduct, is estopped from recovering against third-party defendant in this action.

#### SIXTH DEFENSE

Recovery against the third-party defendant is barred by the economic loss doctrine.

#### SEVENTH DEFENSE

The action is barred by the applicable statutes of limitations and repose including, but not limited to, G.L.c. 260, § 2B.

THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO ASSERT ADDITIONAL AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES UPON THE COMPLETION OF DISCOVERY.

WHEREFORE, third-party defendant demands judgment:

- 1. Dismissing the third-party complaint herein upon its merits;
- 2. For its costs and counsel fees in defense of this action; and
- 3. For such other and further relief as the court deems proper and just.

# THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES.

UNDERHILL CONSULTING ENGINEER, P.C.,

Bytts attorneys,

Terrance J Hamilton

CASNER & EDWARDS, LLP

303 Congress Street Boston, MA 02210 (617) 426-5900 B.B.O. No. 218980

DATED: May 11, 2004

3400.545/315662.1

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true copy of the above document was served upon (each party appearing pro se and) the attorney of record for each party by mail (by hand) on 5 / (( / ) (

303 Congress Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210

Telephone (617) 426-5900 Facsimile (617) 426-8810 www.casneredwards.com

May 11, 2004

Civil Clerk United States District Court District of Massachusetts One Courthouse Way Boston, MA 02210

Re: American Tower Corp. v. Granite State Ins. Co. v. Underhill Consulting Engineer, P.C.

Civil Action No. 03-12469-JLT

#### Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed for filing and docketing please find the following:

- 1. Answer to Third-party Complaint; and
- 2. Third-Party Defendant Underhill Consulting Engineer, P.C.'s Local Rule 7.3 Corporate Disclosure Statement.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Music Laute Terrance J. Hamilton

TJH/laf Enclosures

cc: counsel of record

3400.545/315238.1